MSS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1799 OF 2007

DEEPAK SAJJAN NACHARE .. PETITIONER

Vs.

THE REGIONAL PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER & ORS. .. RESPONDENTS

Mr. Bhavesh Parmar for petitioner

Ms. P. P. Tendulkar i/b Mr. M. S. Karnik for R-1

Mr. Neel Helekar i/b M/s. Haresh Mehta & Co. for Respondent 2

Mr. Namesh Amin i/b M/s. N. Amin & Co. for R-3

CORAM: SMT. RANJANA DESAI &
DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, JJ.

DATE: OCTOBER 1, 2007.

P.C.

. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. In our opinion the petition can be disposed of by passing the following order:

. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that respondent 2 has not given particulars of petitioner's provident fund dues to the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the 2nd respondent states that the particulars of the provident fund dues of the petitioner will be given to the petitioner within a week from today. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that after receiving the particulars from the 2nd respondent, the petitioner shall file appropriate application to respondent 1 under Section 68-H of Employees' Provident Fund Scheme, 1952 within a period of two weeks after receipt of the particulars.

4. The learned counsel for the 1st respondent states that after receipt of the application from the petitioner the 1st respondent shall decided it within a period of two weeks from the receipt thereof and result thereof will be communicated to the petitioner.

5. The learned counsel for the 2nd respondent states that the 2nd respondent shall abide by the decision of respondent No. 1.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that he is not pressing prayer (e) of the petition since he has a remedy of appeal before the D.R.A.T. This statement is recorded and accepted.

7. Petition is disposed of in the aforestated terms.

JUDGE.

JUDGE.